

ASH AND SLAG HANDLING**3.3. Ash and slag properties****3.3.1. Properties of coal ash in Russia***Irina Putilova, Viacheslav Putilov, MPEI (TU)***ABSTRACT**

In the paper data on the basic coal ranks burnt at the Russian TPPs, boiler types, chemical and mineralogical composition of the produced ash and slag and volumes of their formation are resulted. The data on ash and slag landfilling and their beneficial use in various branches of economy: road construction, manufacture of concrete and cement are presented. In Russia about 85 % of ash and slag from TPPs are transported by hydraulic ash removal systems, and only about 15 % are disposed using pneumatic ash removal systems. However, a transfer from "wet" to "dry" ash and slag conveying systems for the purpose of using these products in a dry condition, is now planned. In the paper the Russian standard GOST 25818-91 on ash in concrete is resulted, and also the comparative analysis of GOST and the European standard EN 450 is made. The example of quality management of ash at the arrangement of the staged combustion system at pulverized coal combustion (PCC) boilers is also considered. In the paper technologies applied for using ash and slag from TPPs are reflected: pilot installations for brick manufacture from ash and slag, installations for ash and slag beneficiation.

INTRODUCTION

At the Russian Thermal Power Plants (TPPs) for combustion of all coal ranks, boilers of capacity from 200 to 1000 t/hour are basically applied. At TPPs with the power units of 500 MW for combustion of Ekibastuzsky coal, boilers of capacity of 1650 t/hour are introduced, and at the power units of 800 MW for combustion of Kansk-Achinsky coal, boilers of capacity of 2650 t/hour are applied.

In total more than 100 coal ranks are burnt in power boilers at the Russian TPPs, but the main coals are taken from the following fields:

Kuznetsky,

- Kansk-Achinsky,
- Ekibastuzsky.
- Berezovsky and Irsha-Borodinsky coals belong to Kansk-Achinsky coals.

PROPERTIES OF ASH AND SLAG FROM THE MAIN POWER COALS IN RUSSIA

The average chemical and mineralogical composition of ash and slag from the main power coals in Russia is resulted in tab. 1 [1].

The average particle size distribution, physical and mechanical properties of ash and slag from the main power coals of Russia are given in tab. 2 [1].

DATA ON COAL CONSUMPTION, PRODUCTION, PROCESSING AND LANDFILLING OF ASH AND SLAG

Data on the quantity of coal burnt at the Russian TPPs in tons of the natural fuel; volumes of production, processing and disposing of ash and slag at the landfills [2] are presented in tab. 3.

In Russia about 85 % of ash and slag from TPPs are transported by hydraulic ash removal systems, and only about 15 % are disposed using pneumatic ash removal systems [3]. However, a transfer from "wet" to "dry" ash and slag conveying systems for the purpose of using these products in a dry condition, is now planned.

From the total quantity of ash and slag that could be beneficially used about 1 ... 1,2 million t/year is used for repair and expansion of wet disposals being under operation. The rest part of ash and slag from TPPs is applied in the industry of building materials for manufacture of cements, dry building mixes and products (brick, blocks, and etc.), in industrial and civil engineering at manufacture of concrete, in road building as additives in concrete and for soil replacement.

REQUIREMENTS FOR FLY ASH IN CONCRETE IN RUSSIA AND EU

In table 4 requirements for fly ash in concrete in Russia according to GOST 25818-91 and in EU according to EN450 are presented.

Table 1. The average chemical and mineralogical composition of ash and slag from the main types of power coals in Russia

| Fuel | Material | Chemical composition, % | | | | | | | | | | Loss on ignition |
|-----------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|-----|------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| | | CaO _{FREE} | SiO ₂ | Al ₂ O ₃ | Fe ₂ O ₃ | CaO _{total} | MgO | TiO ₂ | K ₂ O | Na ₂ O | SO ₂ | |
| bastuzsky coal | Fly ash from PCC boilers | – | 63,0 | 27,0 | 6,0 | 0,8 | 0,5 | 1,2 | 0,6 | 0,3 | 0,6 | 2,2 |
| | Bottom ash | – | 50,0 | 25,0 | 11,0 | 1,0 | 0,6 | 1,2 | 0,5 | 0,3 | 3,5 | 3,1 |
| | Bottom ash from CFBC boilers | 5,0 | 54,0 | 26,4 | 6,0 | 7,7 | 0,5 | 1,0 | 0,6 | 0,3 | 3,5 | 6,0 |
| | Fly ash from CFBC boilers | 6,0 | 52,1 | 26,0 | 6,0 | 10,0 | 0,5 | 1,0 | 0,6 | 0,3 | 3,5 | 2,5 |
| Kuznetsky coal | Fly ash from PCC boilers | 0,4 | 62,4 | 22,0 | 6,5 | 4,0 | 1,5 | 0,9 | 2,0 | 1,2 | 0,5 | 8,0 |
| | Bottom ash | – | 60,0 | 20,0 | 9,0 | 5,0 | 1,5 | 0,7 | 2,0 | 0,8 | 1,0 | 24,0 |
| | Boilers slag | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – | – |
| Irsha-Borodinsky coal | Fly ash from PCC boilers | 5,0 | 45,0 | 6,0 | 6,0 | 25,0 | 5,0 | 0,3 | 0,15 | 0,15 | 1,0 | 2,5 |
| | Bottom ash | 0,5 | 52,4 | 6,0 | 12,0 | 22,0 | 4,0 | 0,8 | 0,5 | 0,5 | 1,5 | 3,0 |
| Berezovsky coal | Fly ash from PCC boilers | 12,0 | 26,1 | 9,5 | 8,5 | 42,0 | 6,4 | – | 0,5 | 0,5 | 6,5 | 4,0 |
| | Bottom ash | 8,0 | 29,0 | 8,0 | 10,5 | 40,0 | 5,0 | – | 0,5 | 0,5 | 6,5 | 6,0 |
| | Bottom ash from CFBC boilers | 8,0 | 24,5 | 9,0 | 11,0 | 38,0 | 4,5 | – | 0,5 | 0,5 | 8,0 | 12,0 |
| | Fly ash from CFBC boilers | 8,0 | 24,5 | 9,0 | 11,0 | 38,0 | 4,5 | – | 0,5 | 0,5 | 8,0 | 7,0 |

Table 2 The average particle size distribution, aggregate density (ρ_a), bulk density at the maximum densification (ρ_b), dynamic natural angle of slope (α_d) and specific surface (S_{sp})

| Fuel | Material | Particle size distribution, % | | | | | | | | | | $\rho_a, \frac{g}{cm^3}$ | $\rho_b, \frac{g}{cm^3}$ | $\alpha_d, ^\circ$ | $S_{sp}, \frac{cm^2}{g}$ |
|-----------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------|------|------|--------|-----------|-------------|--------------|-------------|-------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| | | >10 | 10 –5 | 5 –2 | 2 –1 | 1– 0,5 | 0,5– 0,25 | 0,25– 0,125 | 0,125– 0,063 | 0,063– 0,04 | <0,04 | | | | |
| Ekibastuzsky coal | Fly ash from PCC boilers | – | – | – | – | – | 2,0 | 10,0 | 15,0 | 25,0 | 50,0 | 2,1 | 0,9 | 35 | 3600 |
| | Bottom ash | 10,0 | 5,0 | 9,0 | 7,0 | 5,0 | 15,0 | 24,0 | 15,0 | 6,0 | 3,0 | 2,15 | 0,85 | 40 | – |
| | Bottom ash from CFBC boilers | – | 5,0 | 20,0 | 16,0 | 22,0 | 18,0 | 18,0 | – | – | – | 2,1 | 0,9 | 40 | – |
| | Fly ash from CFBC boilers | – | – | – | – | – | 1,5 | 9,0 | 14,0 | 26,0 | 51,6 | 2,2 | 0,95 | 35 | 3800 |
| Kuznetsky coal | Fly ash from PCC boilers | – | – | – | – | – | 1,0 | 12,0 | 17,0 | 15,0 | 55,0 | 2,1 | 1,0 | 31 | 3200 |
| | Bottom ash | 16,0 | 10,0 | 8,0 | 5,0 | 3,0 | 10,0 | 20,0 | 14,0 | 10,0 | 4,0 | 2,15 | 0,8 | 40 | – |
| | Boilers slag | 26,0 | 30,0 | 36 | 7,0 | 0,7 | 0,3 | – | – | – | – | 2,5 | 0,7 | 45 | – |
| Irsha-Borodinsky coal | Fly ash from PCC boilers | – | – | – | – | 0,2 | 8,0 | 18,0 | 18,0 | 30,0 | 25,8 | 2,85 | 1,25 | 35 | 2900 |
| | Bottom ash | – | 11,0 | 15 | 33,0 | 24,0 | 9,0 | 6,0 | 1,5 | 0,5 | – | 2,9 | 1,2 | 45 | – |
| Berezovsky coal | Fly ash from PCC boilers | – | – | – | – | – | 3,0 | 12,0 | 20,0 | 30,0 | 35,0 | 3,0 | 1,3 | 40 | 4000 |
| | Bottom ash | 2,0 | 5,0 | 3,0 | 15,0 | 25,0 | 27,0 | 15,0 | 6,0 | 2,0 | – | 3,2 | 0,75 | 45 | – |
| | Bottom ash from CFBC boilers | – | 3,0 | 3,0 | 10,0 | 36,0 | 24,0 | 13,0 | 8,0 | 3,0 | – | 2,7 | 0,65 | 45 | – |
| | Fly ash from CFBC boilers | – | – | – | – | – | 3,0 | 3,0 | 1,0 | 43,0 | 50,0 | 2,44 | 0,5 | 56 | 8000 |

Table 3. Coal consumption at the TPPs of Russia, production, processing and landfilling of ash and slag

| Parameter | Years | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | 1990 | 1995 | 2000 | 2002 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 ¹ | 2009 ¹ | 2010 ¹ |
| Coal consumption, million tons of the natural fuel/year | 182,0 | 128,0 | 120,1 | 106,0 | 116,5 | 126,2 | 118,5 | 118,7 | 123,0 | 125,3 |
| Average ash content, % | 27,5 | 26,3 | 20,8 | 21,4 | 21,0 | 21,1 | 21,1 | 21,2 | 21,2 | 21,2 |
| Production of ash and slag, million t | 50,0 | 33,7 | 25,0 | 22,7 | 24,5 | 26,6 | 25,0 | 25,2 | 26,1 | 26,6 |
| Processing of ash and slag million t | 4,5 | 1,9 | 3,1 | 3,3 | 4,0 | 4,2 | 4,4 | 4,6 | 4,8 | 5,0 |
| Landfilling of ash and slag, million t | 45,5 | 31,8 | 21,9 | 19,4 | 20,5 | 22,4 | 20,6 | 20,6 | 21,3 | 21,6 |
| Relative volume of ash and slag processing, % of the annual output | 9,0 | 5,6 | 12,4 | 14,5 | 16,3 | 15,8 | 17,6 | 18,3 | 18,4 | 18,8 |

Table 4. Requirements for fly ash in concrete in Russia and EU

| Parameter | Type of coal | Index depending on the ash type according to GOST 25818-91, % by mass | | | | Index according to EN450, % by mass |
|--|--------------|---|------|------------|------|-------------------------------------|
| | | I | II | III | IV | |
| 1. CaO content | | | | | | <1 |
| - for acid ash | Any | <10 | | | | |
| - for alkaline ash, free including CaO _{free} | Lignite | | | | | |
| - for acid ash | Any | Not normed | | | | |
| - for alkaline ash | Lignite | <5 | <5 | Not normed | <2 | Not normed |
| 2. MgO content | Any | <5 | <5 | Not normed | <5 | |
| 3. SO ₃ content | | | | | | <3 |
| - for acid ash | Any | <3 | <5 | <3 | <3 | |
| - for alkaline ash | Lignite | <5 | <5 | <6 | <3 | |
| 4. Na ₂ O content | | | | | | <1,5 |
| - for acid ash | Any | <3 | <3 | <3 | <3 | |
| - for alkaline ash | Lignite | <1,5 | <1,5 | <3,5 | <1,5 | |
| 5. Loss on ignition (L.O.I.) | | | | | | <5 |
| - for acid ash | Anthracite | <20 | <25 | <10 | <10 | |
| | Hard | <10 | <15 | <7 | <5 | |
| | Lignite | <3 | <5 | <5 | <2 | |
| - for alkaline ash | Lignite | <3 | <5 | <3 | <3 | |
| 6. Specific surface, m ² /kg | | | | | | Not normed |
| - for acid ash | Any | >250 | >150 | >250 | >300 | |
| - for alkaline ash | Lignite | >250 | >200 | >150 | >300 | |
| 7. Sieve residue | | | | | | <40 |
| 7.1. R ₄₅ | Any | | | | | |
| 7.2. R ₈₀ | | | | | | |
| - for acid ash | Any | <20 | <30 | <20 | <15 | |
| - for alkaline ash | Lignite | <20 | <20 | <30 | <15 | |
| 8. Cl content | Any | Not normed | | | | <0,1 |
| 9. Humidity | Any | <1,0 | | | | <1,5 |

ABOUT USING OF ASH AND SLAG FROM TPPS

Successful experience of ash and slag usage in territory of the former Soviet Union has been gained before its disorder. So, for example, near Ermakovskaya State District Power

Plant (nowadays Aksusskaya Power Plant, Republic Kazakhstan) in the late seventies of the 21st century a factory consuming about 80 thousand tons of dry ash from Ekibastuzsky coal for manufacture of a high-quality brick has been put into operation. In the late eighties of the 21st

century a factory also manufacturing a high-quality brick has been started up and successfully worked in Chelyabinsk (Urals, Russia). Ash and slag mixture from the worked out wet disposal and dry ash from Chelyabinskaya Thermal Power Plant-2 were used as raw materials for brick manufacture. The main reasons of creating this factory are:

Impossibility of further disposing of ash and slag produced at the TPP at the wet landfill, being in a city, because of its design filling;

responsible position of administration of the Chelyabinsk Region and the power company solving the problem on decrease in a harmful influence of power generation on environment.

As a result of producing brick from ash and slag, production of factories manufacturing fired clay and lime brick turned out to have noncompetitive price and quality. Consequences of the competition with the ash brick appeared to be sad for these factories. Unfortunately, positive experience of using ash and slag from power generation for industrial production of a high-quality brick in scales of all Russia has not found wide application.

About ash and slag beneficiation. In the Kemerovo Region near Belovskaya State District Power Plant a factory for beneficiation of cenospheres from Kuznetsky coal is under construction. The initiator of this project is the Russian Division of the company Omega Minerals Group (Germany). Investors of the project are Omega Minerals Group and the Open JSC «Siberian coal energy company» (Open Society «SUEK»). The factory will be put into operation at the end of 2010 – beginning of 2011.

Nowadays the pilot project on ash quality management at the staged coal combustion in power boilers together with

application of pneumomechanical bottom ash removal technology and technology of the company Separation Technologies (STI) on separation of the unburnt carbon from fly ash is considered. This issue was considered in detail at the III International Scientific and Practical Workshop «Ashes from TPPs – removal, transport, processing, warehousing» held in Moscow on April, 22-23, 2010 [4].

REFERENCES

1. Forecast balance of electric power industry development for 2009-2015 and 2020 of the Closed JSC "EFA" (<http://www.e-apbe.ru/5years/detail.php?ID=19193>)
2. Methodic directions for designing pneumatic ash disposal systems from boilers, plants of dry ash delivery to customers and its discharge to ash dumps. RD 34.27.109-96. // Vishnya B.L., Putilov V.Y. JSC "Uraltechenergo", Yekaterinburg, 1997, 170 p.
3. Ecology in Power Engineering. Manual for high-schools / Under general edition of V.Y. Putilov. MPEI-Publishers, - M., 2003, 716 p.
4. V.Y. Putilov, A.M. Arkhipov, I.V. Putilova, and A.V. Uchevatov. Complex solution of issues on increasing in the economic efficiency, ecological safety and beneficiation of ash and slag at pulverized coal combustion in power boilers at TPPs in Russia. Proceedings of the IIIrd International Scientific and Practical Workshop «Ashes from TPPs – removal, transport, processing, landfilling», April, 22-23, 2010, Moscow, Russia, MPEI Publishers. – M., p.41-45.